1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	TROY L. NEVELS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-03-1590 MCE EFB P
12	vs.
13	CHERYL PLILER, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	On July 18, 2006, a different magistrate judge directed plaintiff either to file an
17	opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment or to file a statement of no opposition.
18	See L. R. 78-230(m). On July 21, 2006, plaintiff filed a paper stating that he does not understand
19	the motion for summary judgment and so he cannot file an opposition.
20	Plaintiff adequately responded to the July 18, 2006, order.
21	Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the July 18, 2006, order to show cause is vacated.
22	Dated: September 14, 2006.
23	Elmin F. Bilima
24	EDMUND F. BRENNAN
25	\neve1590.vac osc UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	